

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,283 02/26/2002		David R. Diduch	11108.002	7097
39013	7590 06/14/2006	EXAMINER		
	M & ASSOCIATES, LLC	DAWSON, GLENN K		
7787 LEESBURG PIKE SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	JRCH, VA 22043		3731	

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/084,283	3	DIDUCH ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Glenn K. D	awson	3731			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc ad	ldress		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing.	ATE OF THI 136(a). In no ever will apply and will e, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be time  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 February 2006</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)∑ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 29-34,37-45,47,48,50 and 52-67 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 29-34,37-45 and 47 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 48,50 and 52-67 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	cepted or b)[ drawing(s) be tion is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 February 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  position of Claims  4) Claim(s) 29-34,37-45,47,48,50 and 52-67 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 29-34,37-45 and 47 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  8) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 8) The drawing(s) filed on sizer and is accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 8) Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 8 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 8) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  8) All b) Some of color None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						

Application/Control Number: 10/084,283 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02-21-2006 has been entered.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant is advised that the Notice of Allowance mailed on 01-10-2006 is vacated due to the filing of an RCE An action based on a new reference submitted in an IDS on 02-21-2006 will follow. If the issue fee has already been paid, applicant may request a refund or request that the fee be credited to a deposit account. However, applicant may wait until the application is either found allowable or held abandoned. If allowed, upon receipt of a new Notice of Allowance, applicant may request that the previously submitted issue fee be applied. If abandoned, applicant may request refund or credit to a specified Deposit Account.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 10/084,283 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 48,50,52,62-65 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Morris, et al.-2004/0249394.

Morris discloses a suture advancing means- 20,70, a straightening means 18, grasping means 3,4 attached to the straightening means. Wherein the advancing means directs the suture through soft tissue while the grasping means stabilizes the device relative to the tissue. The grasping means includes one movable and one stationary jaw. Both jaws have openings for receiving the needle therethrough. Fig. 53-56 show the opening on the movable jaw as being open to allow lateral release.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3731

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 53-61 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morris, et al.-'394 in view of Klein-4491135 and Smith, et al.-5797961.

Morris discloses the invention as claimed with the exception of the needle having an opening receiving the suture. However, Klein discloses that it was known to provide suturing needles with either an eyelet or swaging attachment. It would have been obvious to attach the suture to the needle by eyelet or swaging- which also requires an opening (see Smith element 25 or 27.) as this provides a known alternative attachment location or means for the suture to the needle.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 02-21-2006 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K. Dawson whose telephone number is 571-272-4694. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

Gkd 09 June 2006 Application/Control Number: 10/084,283 Page 6

Art Unit: 3731